



All.Can
Changing cancer care together

Changing cancer in Spain

Annexes: List & Ranking of Inefficiencies

Promotor:



Associates:



Technical Secretariat:



CONTENTS

- ▶ **List of Inefficiencies from the Burden of Cancer Study in Spain**
- ▶ Ranking of inefficiencies based on patient health outcomes and feasibility
- ▶ Ranking of inefficiencies based on the feasibility of reducing or eliminating them
- ▶ Final list of inefficiencies approachable in the short-term, taking into account impact on patient health outcome and feasibility of reducing or eliminating the inefficiency

List of Inefficiencies from the Burden of Cancer Study in Spain

System and Society Level	
#1	Low social awareness and stigmatization of cancer
#2	Inequities in access to the health system according to educational level
#3	Most of the national campaigns on cancer care are designed without involving all the important agents
#4	The National Strategy against Cancer is not updated
#5	Resources and sources of information on cancer are scattered and poorly coordinated
#6	Need to increase investment in translational and applied oncological research
#7	Spanish NHS financing and evaluation schemes should be adapted to ensure long-term sustainability
#8	Inequality in access to diagnosis and cancer treatment between and within Autonomous Communities

List of Inefficiencies from the Burden of Cancer Study in Spain

Hospital & Primary Care Level

#9	Cancer Care is too focused on the hospital
#10	Deficiencies in coordination and communication between hospital and primary care
#11	Poor connection and communication between different hospitals that treat cancer patients
#12	Medical overload and limited care for patients
#13	Primary care professionals are not prepared to diagnose and attend cancer patients
#14	Protocols and clinical practice guidelines are not evaluated or controlled
#15	Non-integrated information systems: no generalized system for measuring and evaluating results

List of Inefficiencies from the Burden of Cancer Study in Spain

Individual Level

#16	Cancer patients have social. economic and emotional difficulties
#17	Limited doctor-patient communication
#18	Limited patient-doctor communication
#19	Lack of information. training and empowerment of patients

Screening Level

#20	Low participation in some of the cancer screening programs
#21	Delay in the response times of some screening programs due to poor coordination between different levels of assistance/care
#22	Differences in the quality of screening in the different levels of assistance/care
#23	Lack of coordination and adherence in screening programs between Autonomous Communities. which generates inequality among cancer patients

List of Inefficiencies from the Burden of Cancer Study in Spain

Diagnostic Level

#24	Inadequate adaptation of the information provided to the patient at the time of diagnosis
#25	Delayed diagnosis due to saturation of the healthcare system
#26	Inefficient care processes which generate delays and duplication of tests in certain diagnoses

System and Society Level

#27	Many patients do not receive optimal treatment
#28	Insufficient understanding of the side effects of treatments by cancer patients and the different health care professionals involved in cancer care
#29	Unequal promotion of clinical trials in hospital centers
#30	Lack of evaluation of health outcomes of treatments
#31	Delays and inequality of access to pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment of cancer between hospitals
#32	Difficulties in accessing oncological orphan drugs for patients

List of Inefficiencies from the Burden of Cancer Study in Spain

Patient Follow-up Level

#33	Insufficient support to the cancer patient when returning to social and work-life
#34	Lack of follow-up of long survivors without active disease
#35	Low assessment of the quality of life of cancer patients
#36	Low participation of primary care in the follow-up of cancer patients

CONTENTS

- ▶ List of Inefficiencies from the Burden of Cancer Study in Spain
- ▶ **Ranking of inefficiencies based on patient health outcomes**
- ▶ Ranking of inefficiencies based on the feasibility of reducing or eliminating them
- ▶ Final list of inefficiencies approachable in the short-term, taking into account impact on patient health outcome and feasibility of reducing or eliminating the inefficiency

Ranking of Inefficiencies based on patient health outcomes

The 10 inefficiencies considered to have the highest impact in health outcomes for patients were (1 means low importance and 7 high importance):

Inefficiency		Average Score
#25	Delayed diagnosis due to healthcare system saturation	6.6
#7	Spanish NHS financing and evaluation schemes should be adapted to ensure long-term sustainability	6.5
#6	Need to increase investment in translational and applied oncological research	6.4
#8	Inequality in access to diagnosis and cancer treatment between and within Autonomous Communities	6.4
#31	Delays and inequality of access to pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment of cancer between hospitals	6.4
#4	The National Strategy against Cancer is not updated	6.3
#27	Many patients do not receive the optimal treatment	6.3
#11	Poor connection and communication between different hospitals that treat cancer patients	6.1
#20	Low participation in some of the cancer screening programs	6.1
#21	Delay in the response times of some screening programs due to poor coordination between different levels of assistance/care	6.1

CONTENTS

- ▶ List of Inefficiencies from the Burden of Cancer Study in Spain
- ▶ Ranking of inefficiencies based on patient health outcomes
- ▶ **Ranking of inefficiencies based on the feasibility of reducing or eliminating them**
- ▶ Final list of inefficiencies approachable in the short-term, taking into account impact on patient health outcome and feasibility of reducing or eliminating the inefficiency

Ranking of inefficiencies based on the feasibility of reducing or eliminating them

The 10 inefficiencies considered most feasible to reduce or eliminate were (1 means least feasible to reduce or eliminate and 7 most feasible):

Inefficiency		Average Score
#1	Low social awareness about cancer and stigmatization of cancer	6.2
#24	Inadequate adaptation of the information provided to the patient at the time of diagnosis	5.8
#3	Most of the national campaigns on cancer care are designed without involving all the important agents	5.8
#5	Resources and sources of information on cancer are scattered and poorly coordinated	5.0
#19	Lack of information, training and empowerment of patients	4.9
#4	The National Strategy against Cancer is not updated	4.8
#14	Protocols and clinical practice guidelines are not evaluated or controlled	4.8
#28	Insufficient understanding of the side effects of treatments by cancer patients and the different health care professionals involved in cancer care	4.8
#11	Poor connection and communication between different hospitals that treat cancer patients	4.7
#2	Inequities in access to the health system according to educational level	4.7

CONTENTS

- ▶ List of Inefficiencies from the Burden of Cancer Study in Spain
- ▶ Ranking of inefficiencies based on patient health outcomes
- ▶ Ranking of inefficiencies based on the feasibility of reducing or eliminating them
- ▶ **Final list of inefficiencies approachable in the short-term, taking into account impact on patient health outcome and feasibility of reducing or eliminating the inefficiency**

Final list of inefficiencies approachable in the short-term, taking into account impact on patient health outcome and feasibility of reducing or eliminating the inefficiency

	Inefficiency	H	F	T	P(HxFxT)
#24	Inadequate adaptation of the information provided to the patient at the time of diagnosis	5.2	5.8	6.1	184.0
#01	Low social awareness and stigmatization of cancer	5.3	6.2	5.4	177.4
#05	Resources and sources of information on cancer are scattered and poorly coordinated	5.8	5.0	5.2	150.8
#03	Most of the national campaigns on cancer care are designed without involving all the important agents	4.5	5.8	5.6	146.2
#04	The National Strategy against Cancer is not updated	6.3	4.8	4.8	145.2
#11	Poor connection and communication between different hospitals that treat cancer patients	6.1	4.7	4.8	137.6
#17	Limited doctor-patient communication	5.9	4.4	4.9	127.2
#34	Lack of follow-up of long survivors without active disease	4.9	4.7	5.4	124.4
#20	Low participation in some of the cancer screening programs	6.1	4.2	4.8	123.0
#31	Delays and inequality of access to pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment of cancer between hospitals	6.4	4.1	4.4	115.5
#30	Lack of evaluation of health outcomes of treatments	5.9	4.4	4.1	106.4

H: Average Score of the Inefficiency based on impact on Patient Health Outcomes

F: Average Score based on Feasibility of Reducing or Eliminating the inefficiency

T: Average Score based on the probability of addressing the inefficiency in the short-term (in this case, inefficiencies that had an average score higher than 4 were considered approachable in the short-term).

P(HxFxT): Product of the average scores of all three variables